

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/522,361

Applicant(s)

HILGERT, ANDREAS

Examiner

James F. Hook

Art Unit

3754

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James F. Hook

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Bryan Jaketic (applicant's rep.)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 September 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 13Identification of prior art discussed: noneAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed deleting "or 11" from claim 13 to make such dependent only upon claim 10 which would correct the improper multiple dependency. The examiner will make the change in an examiner's amendment and such will place the application in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/James F. Hook/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754